RECEIVED Wednesday, November 15, 2023 3:36:37 PM IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

BEFORE THE

THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

CASE NO. IPC-E-23-11

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF) IDAHO POWER COMPANY FOR) AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES AND) CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE IN THE) STATE OF IDAHO AND FOR ASSOCIATED) REGULATORY ACCOUNT TREATMENT)

TESTIMONY OF DR. LANCE D. KAUFMAN SUPPORTING THE SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

ON BEHALF OF THE

IDAHO IRRIGATION PUMPERS ASSOCIATION, INC.

November 15, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction and Summary 1
II.	Summary of IIPA Participation in this Case

EXHIBIT LIST

LDK-2 – Qualification Statement of Lance D. Kaufman

1

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND OCCUPATION.

A. My name is Lance D. Kaufman. I am a consultant representing utility customers before state
 public utility commissions in the Northwest and Intermountain West. My witness qualification
 statement can be found at LDK-2.

6 O. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PARTY ON WHOSE BEHALF YOU ARE TESTIFYING.

- 7 A. I am testifying on behalf of the Idaho Irrigation Pumper's Association, Inc. ("IIPA"). IIPA is a
- 8 non-profit trade association whose members are large energy users in the Idaho, including
- 9 customers receiving electric services from Idaho Power Company ("IPC" or "Company).

10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 11 A. I provide testimony on the following items:
- 12 The proposed settlement.

13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS.

- 14 A. I make the following recommendations:
- Find the proposed settlement results in fair, just, and reasonable rates.
- 16 II. SUMMARY OF IIPA PARTICIPATION IN THIS CASE

17 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE IIPA'S PARTICIPATION, ANALYSIS, AND FINDINGS IN 18 THIS CASE.

- 19 A. IIPA reviewed the opening testimony and workpapers of all company witnesses, issued
- 20 discovery on cost of capital, test year expenses, and cost of service, and developed positions on
- 21 potentially appropriate adjustments to the Company's filed case. Prior to participation in
- 22 settlement discussions IIPA identified and quantified potential adjustments related to the
- 23 following issues:

1	1.	Cost of capital,
2	2.	Rate base,
3	3.	Expenses,
4	4.	Load forecast,
5	5.	Irrigation peak rewards program,
6	6.	Cost of service,
7	7.	Rate spread, and
8	8.	Rate design.
9		IIPA participated in settlement discussions on September 18, October 4, and October 5, 2023.
10		During these discussions all issues considered by IIPA, with the exception of net power supply,
11		were raised and discussed by parties, including IPC. IPC offered reasonable responses to many
12		of the issues and concerns raised by parties. Between settlement discussions IIPA met with
13		members and other intervening parties to discuss issues in the case. IIPA proposed many of the
14		compromises that led to the final settlement proposal and finds the final proposal contains
15		terms and conditions that are acceptable to the IIPA, provides an appropriate balance between
16		the participating parties, and will likely result in fair, just and reasonable rates.
17	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR ANALYSIS OF IPC'S COST OF CAPITAL.
18	A.	IIPA submitted discovery and performed analysis of IPC's cost of capital, including estimates
19		of cost of equity and evaluation of capital structure and cost of debt. IIPA evaluated cost of
20		capital using discounted cash flow and capital pricing asset models. The cost of equity agreed
21		to in the stipulation was contained within the range of estimates of IPC's cost of equity

22 analysis.

1	IIPA reviewed the company's credit position, debt coverage, debt issuances, and
2	historical changes in capital structure and did not find any material issues. The overall cost of
3	capital is consistent with IPC's review.

4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF IPC'S EXPENSES

- A. IIPA reviewed IPC's base year expense ledgers and test year adjustments. IIPA identified
 potential issues with labor escalation, benefits, impacts of turnover on average wage,
 depreciation, and tax expense. The final revenue requirement in this case is consistent with
- 8 IPC's review of expenses.

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF IPC'S LOAD FORECAST.

10 A. IIPA compared IPC's proposed billing determinants with the IPC IRP load forecast, and with

11 the timing decisions imbedded in IPC's requested rate base. IIPA found that billing

- 12 determinants did not reflect material anticipated load growth in 2024, and that this was
- 13 inconsistent with the basis for IPC's requested rate base. IIPA's primary concern with load
- 14 forecast was temporal consistency and IIPA's concerns are addressed in the proposed
- 15 stipulation because revenue requirement is based on a level of rate base consistent with IPC's
- 16 filed load forecast.

17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF IPC'S IRRIGATION PEAK REQARDS 18 PROGRAM.

A. IIPA observed that IPC requested a material increase in demand charges for Schedule 24
 Irrigation Service, due in part to increases in IPC's cost of capacity. IIPA was concerned that
 the Irrigation Peak Rewards program did not also reflect this increase in cost of capacity. The
 proposed settlement includes workshops addressing cost of service modeling and IIPA intends

to continue evaluating the peak rewards program in the context of potential revisions to cost of
 service modeling in future cases.

3 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF IPC'S COST OF SERVICE MODEL.

- 4 A. IIPA identified several potential changes to IPC's cost of service model. These changes
- 5 generally reduced the cost of demand in summer months. The proposed stipulation is
- 6 consistent with IIPA's potential changes to cost of service, and parties have agreed to ongoing
- 7 workshops and discussions to address IIPA's concerns.

8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF IPC'S RATE SPREAD.

- 9 A. IIPA's revised cost of service model indicated that excessive costs were allocated to Schedule
- 10 24 Agricultural Irrigation Service. IIPA proposed an alternative rate spread that was more
- 11 consistent with IIPA's cost of service model during settlement discussions. This alternative rate
- 12 spread was adopted in the final proposal and addresses IIPA's rate spread concerns.

13 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF IPC'S RATE DESIGN.

- 14 A. IPC proposed a moderate change to the Schedule 24 monthly fixed charge and a material
- 15 increase to Schedule 24 demand charges. IIPA finds the monthly fixed charge change
- 16 reasonable, but has ongoing concerns about the large increase to demand charges. While not
- 17 specified in the stipulation, IPC has scheduled a meeting with IIPA to discuss treatment of
- 18 demand charges in the next general rate case.

19Q.ARE ALL OF IIPA'S CONCERNS ADDRESSED IN THE PROPOSED20SETTLEMENT?

- A. Yes, all of IIPA's concerns are either directly addressed in the proposed settlement through
- 22 changes to revenue requirement and rate spread, or indirectly through agreement for ongoing
- 23 collaboration. IIPA recommends that the commission find the proposed settlement to be fair,
- 24 just, and reasonable and in the public interest.

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY SUPPORTING THE STIPULATION?

2 A. Yes

CURRICULUM VITAE

LANCE KAUFMAN Western Economics, LLC 2623 NW Bluebell Place Corvallis OR, 97330 (541) 515-0380 lance@westernecon.com

EDUCATION:

University of Oregon	Ph.D.	Economics	2008 - 2013
University of Oregon	M.S.	Economics	2006 - 2008
University of Anchorage Alaska	B.B.A.	Economics	2001 - 2004

CERTIFICATIONS:

Certified Depreciation Professional

Society of Depreciation Professionals 2018

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Consultant Senior Economist	Lance Kaufman Consulting Oregon Public Utility Commission	2014 – Present 2015 – 2018 2014 – 2015
Public Utility Advocate	Alaska Department of Law	2014 - 2015
Senior Economist	Oregon Public Utility Commission	2013 - 2014
Instructor	University of Oregon	2008 - 2012
Research Assistant	University of Alaska Anchorage	2003 - 2008

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS:

Society of Depreciation Professionals	2015 - Present
American Economics Association	2017 - Present

RESEARCH, CONSULTING, AND ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS:

- The Municipality of Cedar Falls, Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA 2023 Retained as a consultant for Cedar Falls Utilities to conduct a depreciation study of their electric, gas, water, and telecommunications utilities.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2023
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread, and rate design in <u>Portland General Electric Company</u>, <u>Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UE 416.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2023

Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding cost of capital, rate spread, and rate design in <u>PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket No. UE-230172.

• Alliance for Retail Energy Markets, La Jolla, CA 2023 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance for Retail Energy Markets regarding resource adequacy of generation service providers in <u>Arizona Public Service Company, Request</u> for a General Rate Revision, Arizona Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-22-0144.

- North Carolina Sustainable Energy Association, Raleigh, NC 2023 Retained as an expert witness forNorth Carolina Sustainable Energy Association regarding depreciation rates and coal plant securitization in <u>Duke Energy Carolinas</u>, <u>Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, North Carolina Utility Commission Docket No. E-7 Sub 1276.
- Deep Blue Pacific Wind, Portland, OR 2023
 Retained as an expert witness for Deep Blue Pacific Wind regarding least cost planning in <u>Portland General Electric Company, 2023 Integrated Resource Plan</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. LC 80.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread, and rate design in <u>Portland General Electric Company</u>, <u>Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UE 394.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding depreciation rates in <u>Portland General Electric Company Detailed Depreciation Study of Electric Utility Properties</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UM 2152.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread, and rate design in <u>Pacific Power Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UE 399.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread, and rate design in <u>Puget Sound Energy General Rate</u> <u>Case to Update Base Rates</u>, Washington Utility and Transportation Commission, Docket No. UE-220066, UG-220067, UE-210918.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers competitive
 energy service in <u>AWEC's Investigation into Long-Term Direct Access Programs</u>, Public
 Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UM 2024.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2021
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers competitive energy service in <u>Direct Access Rulemaking</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. AR 651.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Smart Energy Alliance regarding revenue requirement, rate spread, and rate design in <u>Sierra Pacific General Rate Case to Update Base Rates</u>, Public Utility Commission of Nevada, Docket No. 22-06014.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2022
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread, and rate design in <u>Avista Corp General Rate Case to</u>

<u>Update Base Rates</u>, Washington Utility and Transportation Commission, Docket No. UE-220053 & UG-220054.

- Georgia Public Service Commission, OR 2022
 - Retained as an expert witness for Georgia Public Service Commission depreciation rates and decommissioning costs in <u>Georgia Power Company's 2022 General Rate Case</u>, Georgia Public Service Commission, Docket No. 44280.
- Cable Huston, LLP, Portland, OR 2020

Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread and rate design in <u>Cascade Natural Gas Corporation</u> <u>Request for General Rate Revision</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UG 390.

- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2020
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding net power costs in <u>Portland General Electric Company 2021 Annual Power Cost Update</u> <u>Tariff</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UE 377.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2020
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding net power costs in <u>Portland General Electric Company 2021 Annual Update Tariff</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Docket No. UE 381.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2020
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding revenue requirement, rate spread and rate design in <u>Nevada Power Company 2021</u>
 <u>General Rate Case</u>, Public Utility Commission of Nevada, Docket No. 20-06003
- Frank & Salahuddin LLC, Denver, Colorado, 2020 Retained as an expert witness for plaintiffs regarding calculation of lost earnings.
 - ba, Denver, Colorado, 2020 Retained as an expert witness for plaintiffs regarding calculation of lost earnings.
- Level Development Group, LLC, Denver, Colorado, 2020 Develop real estate valuation model for establishing sale price of newly constructed residential housing.
- Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, Phoenix, Arizona, 2020
 Deposed as an expert witness for plaintiffs re calculation of economic harm due to breach of contract in <u>Jeff Olberg v. Allstate Insurance Company</u>, Case No. C18-0573-JCC, United States District Court, Western District of Washington at Seattle.
- Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, Phoenix, Arizona, 2020
 Deposed as an expert witness for plaintiffs re calculation of economic harm due to breach of contract in re <u>Cameron Lundquist v. First National Insurance Company of America</u>, Case No. 18-cv-05301-RJB, United States District Court, Western District of Washington at Tacoma.
- Killmer, Lane, and Newman, LLP, Denver, Colorado, 2020
 Deposed as expert witness for plaintiff re racial disparities in police use of force re Brandon Washington V. City Of Aurora, Colorado, Case No. 1:19-cv-01160-RM-MEH, United States District Court, District of Colorado.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2020

Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding coal plant pollution control investments, coal plant decommissioning costs, rate spread and rate design re <u>PacifiCorp 2020 Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 374.

- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR and Washington Attorney General, 2020 Retained as an expert witness for Packaging Company of America and Washington Public Council regarding decommissioning costs and rate design re <u>PacifiCorp 2020</u> <u>Request for a General Rate Revision</u>, Washington Utility and Transportation Commission.
- Sanger Law, PC, Portland, OR, 2019

Retained as a consultant for Renewable Energy Coalition and for Northwest & Intermountain Power Producers Coalition to provide analysis of PacifiCorp avoided costs in a Utility PURPA Compliance Filing at the Washington Utility and Transportation Commission Docket, No. UE-190666.

- Sanger Law, PC, Portland, OR, 2019
 Retained as a consultant for Northwest & Intermountain Power Producers Coalition to provide analysis of Portland General Electric avoided costs in support of testimony to the Oregon Legislature.
- Powder River Basin Resource Council, Laramie, Wyoming, 2019.
 Testified as an expert witness for Powder River Basin Resource Council regarding coal plant closures re <u>PacifiCorp 2019 Integrated Resource Plan</u>, Wyoming Public Service Commission Docket No. 90000-147-XI-19.
- The Law Office of Ralph Lamar, Arvada, CO 2019 Deposed as an expert witness for plaintiffs regarding lost profits of a Farmers insurance agency
- Jester, Gibson & Moore, Denver, CO 2019 Retained as an expert witness for plaintiffs regarding lost earnings in an ADEA wrongful termination matter.
- Albrechta & Coble, Ltd. Fremont, OH 2019 Retained as an expert witness for plaintiff regarding lost earnings in a race related wrongful termination matter.
- Conrad Law, PC, Salt Lake City, UT 2019
 - Retained as an expert witness for Ellis-Hall Consultants, LLC. regarding economic damages in Ellis-Hall Consultants, LLC. et. al. v. George B. Hofmann IV, United States District Court, District of Utah, Central Division.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2019
 - Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding net variable power cost calculations in PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, 2020 Annual Power Cost Update Tariff Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 359.
- Sanger Law, PC, Portland, OR, 2019

Testified as an expert witness for Renewable Energy Coalition and Rocky Mountain Coalition for Renewable Energy regarding Qualified Facility avoided costs in Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Modification of Avoided Cost Methodology and Reduced Term of PURPA Power Purchase Agreements Public Service Commission of Wyoming Docket No. 20000-545-ET-18

- Sanger Law, PC, Portland, OR, 2019
 - Retained as an expert witness for Cafeto Coffee Company regarding the necessity, design, and location of transmission lines in SPRINGFIELD UTILITY BOARD Petition for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. PCN 3.
- Baumgartner Law, LLC, Denver, CO, 2018
 Retained as an expert witness for plaintiffs re calculation of economic harm due to injury in re <u>Eric Bowman, v. Top Tier Colorado, LLC</u>, Case No. 18CV31359, United States District Court, District of Colorado.
- Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC, Washington DC, 2018
 Retained as an expert witness for plaintiffs re calculation of economic harm due to breach
 of contract in re <u>Isaac Harris et al. v. Medical Transportation Management, Inc.</u>, Civil
 Action No. 17-1371, United States District Court, District of Columbia.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Portland, OR 2020
 Retained as an expert witness for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers regarding depreciation rates in re <u>PacifiCorp</u> Application for Authority to Implement Revised <u>Depreciation Rates</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1968.
- Davison Van Cleve, PC, Salem, OR and Washington Attorney General, OR 2020 Retained as an expert witness for Packaging Company of America and Washington Public Council regarding depreciation rates in re <u>Pacific Power 2018 Depreciation Study</u>, Washington Utility and Transportation Commission, Docket No. UE-180778.
- Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, Phoenix, Arizona, 2018
 - **Deposed** as an expert witness for plaintiffs re calculation of economic harm due to breach of contract in re <u>Vicky Maldonado and Carter v. Apple Inc., AppleCare Services</u> <u>Company, Inc., and Apple CSC, Inc.</u>, Case No. 3:16-cv-04067-WHO, United States District Court, District of California.
- Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP, Phoenix, Arizona, 2018
 Deposed and testified as an expert witness for plaintiffs re calculation of unpaid mileage for truck drivers in re <u>Swift Transportation Co., Inc.</u>, Civil Action No. CV2004-001777, Superior Court of the State of Arizona, County of Maricopa.
- Killmer, Lane, and Newman, LLP, Denver, Colorado, 2018
 Retained as expert witness for plaintiffs re reasonable attorney fees in re <u>Jeanne Stroup</u> and Ruben Lee, v. United Airlines, Inc., Case No. 15-cv-01389-WYD-STV, United States District Court, District of Colorado.
- Klein and Frank, PC, Denver, Colorado, 2018 Retained as expert witness for plaintiffs re potential jury bias in re <u>Gail Goehrig and</u> <u>Chris Goehrig v. Core Mountain Enterprises, LLC</u>, Case No. 2016CV030004, San Juan County District Court.
- Robert Belluso, Pennsylvania, 2017 Retained as expert witness for plaintiff re lost profit in re <u>Robert Belluso D.O. v Trustees</u> <u>of Charleroi Community Park</u>, PHRC Case No. 201505365, Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.
- Lowery Parady, LLC, Denver, Colorado, 2017

Analyzed payroll data and calculated unpaid overtime and unpaid hours for plaintiff class action in re <u>Violeta Solis, et al. v. The Circle Group, LLC, et al.</u>, Case No.

- 1:16-cv-01329-RBJ, United States District Court, District of Colorado.
- Sawaya & Miller Law Firm, Denver, Colorado, 2017 Provided data processing and analysis of employment records.
- Financial Scholars Group, Orinda, California, 2017
 Provided analysis of risk profile in bundled real estate and personal loans in re <u>Old</u> <u>Republic Insurance Company v. Countrywide Bank et al.</u>, Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division.
- Financial Scholars Group, Orinda, California, 2017
 Provided consultation and analysis of financial market transactions in preparation of
 settlement claims filings in re Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al. and Sonterra Capital
 Master Fund Ltd., et al v. UBS AG et al.
- Clean Energy Action, Boulder, Colorado, 2016 2017
 - Provided consultation on the appropriate discounting methodology used in energy resource planning in the Public Service Company of Colorado application for approval of the 2016 Electric Resource Plan, Proceeding No. 16A-0396E, Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado.
- Confidential Client, 2016 Provided analysis and report on the probability that distinct crimes are independent events based on geographical analysis of crime rates.
- Christine Lamb and Kevin James Burns, Denver, Colorado, 2016
 Provided data analysis for defendant of the impact of ethnicity on termination decisions
 in re <u>Aragon et al v. Home Depot USA, Inc.</u>, Case No. 1:15-cv- 00466-MCA-KK, United
 States District Court, District of New Mexico.
- Steptoe & Johnson LLP, Washington, DC, 2015 2016
 - Programmed analysis of internet traffic data for plaintiffs applying a proprietary probability model developed to identify and verify accounts responsible for repeated infringements of asserted copyrights by defendants' internet subscribers in re <u>BMG</u> <u>Rights Management (US) LLC, and Round Hill Music LP v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.,</u> Case No. 1:14-cv-1611(LOG/JFA), United States District Court Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division.
- Padilla & Padilla, PLLC, Denver, Colorado, 2014 2016
 Provided research and analysis for plaintiffs re the impact on minority applicants from
 use of the AccuPlacer Test by the City and County of Denver, and estimated damages in
 re <u>Marian G. Kerner et al. v. City and County of Denver</u>, Civil Action No.
 11-cv-00256-MSK-KMT, United States District Court, District of Colorado.
- U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 2013 Provided statistical analysis of EEOC filings.

OTHER REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS:

- Portland General Electric 2018 AUT UE 335
- Portland General Electric 2016 Annual Power Cost Variance Docket No. UE 329.
- PacifiCorp 2016 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism Docket No. UE 327.

- Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff Investigation into the Treatment of New Facility Direct Access Charges Docket No. UM 1837
- PacifiCorp Oregon Specific Cost Allocation Investigation Docket No. UM 1824.
- PacifiCorp 2018 Transition Adjustment Mechanism Docket No. UE 323.
- Portland General Electric 2018 General Rate Case Docket No. UE 319.
- Avista Corp. 2017 General Rate Case Docket No. UG 325.
- Portland General Electric Affiliated Interest Agreement with Portland General Gas Supply Docket No. UI 376.
- Portland General Electric 2017 Automated Update Tariff Docket No. UE 308
- PacifiCorp 2017 Transition Adjustment Mechanism Docket No. UE 307
- Portland General Electric 2017 Reauthorization of Decoupling Adjustment Docket No. UE 306
- Northwest Natural Gas Investigation of WARM Program Docket No. UM 1750.
- PacifiCorp Investigation into Multi-Jurisdictional Allocation Issues Docket No. UM 1050.
- Idaho Power Company 2015 Power Supply Expense True Up Docket No. UE 305
- Homer Electric Association 2015 Depreciation Study U-15-094
- Submitted prefiled testimony regarding the depreciation study.
- Chugach Electric Association 2015 Rate Case U-15-081
- Developed staff position regarding margin calculations.
- ENSTAR 2014 Rate Case U-14-111
- Submitted prefiled testimony regarding sales forecast.
- Alaska Pacific Environmental Services 2014 Rate Case U-14-114/115/116/117/118 Submitted prefiled testimony regarding cost allocations, cost of service, cost of capital, affiliated interests, and depreciation.
- Alaska Waste 2014 Rate Case U-14-104/105/106/107 Submitted prefiled testimony regarding cost of service study, cost of capital, operating ratio, and affiliated interest real estate contracts.
- Fairbanks Natural Gas 2014 Rate Case U-14-102
 - Submitted prefiled testimony regarding cost of service study and forecasting models.
- Avista 2015 Rate Case U-14-104 Submitted analysis supporting OPUC Staff settlement positions regarding Avista's sales and load forecast, decoupling mechanisms and interstate cost allocation methodology. Represented Staff in settlement conferences on November 21, November 26, and December 4, 2013.
- Portland General Electric 2015 Rate Case
 - Submitted pre-filed opening testimony addressing PGE's sales forecast, printing and mailing budget forecast, mailing budget, marginal cost study, line extension policy and reactive demand charge. Represented OPUC Staff in settlement conferences on May 20, May 27, and June 12, 2014.
- Portland General Electric 2014 General Rate Case Submitted analysis supporting OPUC Staff settlement positions regarding PGE's sales and load forecast, revenue decoupling mechanism, and cost of service study. Represented OPUC Staff in settlement conferences on May 29, June 3, June 6, July 2, and July 9 of 2013. Submitted testimony in support of partial stipulation, pre-filed opening testimony

addressing PGE's decoupling mechanism, and testimony in support of a second partial stipulation.

- PacifiCorp 2014 General Electric Rate Case
 - Submitted analysis supporting OPUC Staff settlement positions regarding PacifiCorp's sales and load forecast and cost of service study. Represented Staff in settlement conferences on June 12 through June 14, 2013.